Committees: Corporate Projects Board - for decision Project Sub Committee – for decision Culture Heritage and Libraries – for decision	Dates: 25 November 2020 17 December 2020 25 January 2021
Subject: London Metropolitan Archives - Replacement of Fire Alarm, Chillers and Landlords Lighting and Power (Ref - CS 410/20) Unique Project Identifier: 12242	Gateway 2: Project Proposal Regular
Report of: City Surveyor Report Author: Andrew Coke and Jessica Lees	For Decision
PUBLIC	

Recommendations

1. Next steps and requested decisions	Project Description: This project will carry out essential replacements of the current electrical installation, lighting, fire alarm and chillers for the London Metropolitan Archives (LMA). The works will bring assets up to compliant standards and improve efficiency.			
	Next Gateway: Gateway 3/4 - Options Appraisal (Regular)			
	Next Steps:			
	To get a detailed survey to provide options at gateway 3/4			
	Funding Source:			
	City Fund Reserve			
	'In principle' funding of up to £1,397,000 was approved by Resource Allocation Sub and Policy and Resources Committees in December 2019 as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bid round.			

	Additional funding from the Finsbury Business Centre @ 29.75% of fire alarm element (£74,375), landlords lighting and power (£119,000) and professional fees (£39,698), with a total estimated contribution of £233,073.			
	 Requested Decisions: That budget of £145,000 is approved to carry out more detailed surveys to prepare the M&E design and tender documents to reach the next Gateway; Note the total estimated cost of the project of £1,145,000 (excluding risk). Note that there is a Costed Risk of £180,000 (post-mitigation). Note that the total estimated cost of the project of £1,325,000 (including risk). Note that 'in principle' central funding from City Fund reserves was agreed as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bids, together with an element of funding recoverable from the Finsbury Business Centre (elements that additionally benefit FBC) – giving a total funding envelope of £1,630,073. 			
2. Resource requirements to reach next Gateway	Item	Reason	Funds/ Source of Funding	Cost (£)
	Consultant services engineer	To carry out surveys and prepare detailed M&E design and tender documents	City Fund Reserve*	£70,000
	R&D asbestos survey	Survey to locate and identify all asbestos- containing materials (ACMs)	City Fund Reserve*	£20,000
	Fire risk consultant	To review fire risks identified against the three buildings	City Fund Reserve*	£25,000

		that make up the site		
	CDM advisor	To meet health and safety duties	City Fund Reserve*	£10,000
	Other	Planning/ building control	City Fund Reserve*	£5,000
	Staff costs	Project management	City Fund Reserve*	£15,000
	Total			£145,000
3. Governance arrangements	 and Policy and Resources Committees in December 2019 as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bid round. Draw-down of these funds is subject to further approval of RASC and P&R. Peter Lisley, Assistant Town Clerk Senior Responsible Officer, Geoff Pick, Director, London Metropolitan Archives 			
	• A project board is not required as this is a regular project and works are not considered complex at this stage. Further consideration will be taken at gateway 3/4 upon recommended option.			
	 The project will be progressed by the City Surveyor's Department (CSD) in conjunction with the London Metropolitan Archives CSD Project Manager - Carmel McGowan, Senior Principal Engineer 			

Project Summary

4. Context	4.1 The site's electrical installation is in an aged and poor condition and requires urgent attention as graded as 'Unsatisfactory' at the last 5 yearly fixed wire test (FWT) report with recommendations that need to be addressed.
	4.2 A preliminary survey/report on the fire alarm system covering the site has identified a range of non-compliance issues and lack of coverage.

	 4.3 The chillers are beyond their working life and regularly break down. The risk to the unique archives stored there is increased when running with equipment which is beyond its serviceable life as any failures could result in irreversible damage. The value and importance of the historical archives of the City of London Corporation are recognised nationally and internationally. Their preservation should not be compromised. 4.4 The lighting across several areas, is poor and the units are obsolete, with unit failures common. 4.5 The forward maintenance plan for the LMA identified several mechancial and electrical assets need to be replaced.
5. Brief description of project	5.1 The project will renew the landlord lighting and power with more efficient and controllable lighting. This will address previously highlighted failings and recommendations and replace obsolete fittings.
	5.2The installation of new chillers to provide more efficient and managable temperature control in key areas of archive storage.
	5.3 The installation of a new fire detection system throughout the complex (Old Building, Extension and the Annex).
	5.4We will also look at other similar projects taking place to identify any economies of scale and review lessons learnt.
6. Consequences if project not approved	6.1 If deferred, the electrical systems will continue to be at risk of failure with an increased risk of fire. Site has already experienced significant failures and the landlord power has was classed as 'Unsatisfactory' on the last FWT.
	6.2 Given the public awareness of the Grenfell Tower fire as well as other reported incidents, there is a public expectation that local authorities are doing all they can to mitigate fire risk in their buildings. Also, given the value and importance of the unique archives stored at the LMA, compliant and up to date fire protection is an essential requirement to meet international standards.
	6.3The current fire alarm and detection installation has been identified as having a considerable number of age expired elements which are prone to failure and non-compliant cabling which is in poor condition.

7. SMART project objectives 8. Key benefits	 6.4 If the site's chillers were to suffer a total failure the documents and artefacts stored on site would be subject to damage through deterioration and or need to be re-housed elsewhere. What is the project required to achieve? Highlight a few objectives. These may be derived from your measures of success as described in your Project Briefing. To ensure that there is compliant landlord lighting and power, fit for purpose with acceptable lux levels and better energy management. To ensure that there is a compliant fire detection safety system with the installation of a new fire alarm that will meet British standards and building regulations More reliable and efficient chiller system. The long-term preservation of the City of London Corporation's archives as identified within the Town Clerk's Culture Business Plan. To reduce energy charges associated to lighting as LED smart lighting could be utilised. To reduce number of reactive call outs and charges.
9. Project category	 To provide compliant fire alarm and electrics. 1. Health and safety
10. Project priority	A. Essential
11. Notable exclusions	 11.1 Boilers and Heating system – this is to be covered by a Cyclical Works Project – The reason for keeping this item separate is due to lead times. 11.2 An additional report has been submitted under the 'Energy Reduction Programme – Phase 2' proposal. The report is focused towards energy reduction however, this submission focuses on compliance. It is envisaged that these projects may be delivered individually but may offer efficiencies if delivered together. Initial discussions have already taken place and will continue to the next gateway.

Options Appraisal

12. Overview of	Option 1 – Commence with all M&E and electrical and lighting
options	works. Including the delivery of replacement chillers.

Option 2 - Part retain and part replacement of the existing landlord lighting and power that are highlighted as recommendations under the FWT as well as partial renewal of the fire alarm detection system. Complete replacement of the chillers.
Option 3 - Do nothing: City of London may not be compliant with the Health & Safety at work Act. The City would also be exposed to considerable risk if there were to be a catastrophic failure of a chiller, therefore not considered as an acceptable option.

Project Planning

13. Delivery period and key dates	Overall project: Five months from start work on site/ estimated completion date August 2022.		
	The project briefing previously estimated a completion date of between June and March 2021 as was on the basis that the project would start on site between May – June 2020. Due to review of project scope and detailed survey requirements identified within this gateway 2, the start on site date is now Estimated March 2022 with an estimated completion date of July/ August 2022.		
	Key dates:		
	Gateway 2 approval January & February 2021		
	Appoint consultant for survey April 2021 and design		
	Undertake asbestos R&D May 2021 survey		
	Gateway 3/4 report for July 2021 approval		
	Finalise tender documents	August 2021	
	Tender project	September 2021	
	Tender return October 2021		
	Gateway 5 report for approval November 2021		
	Place order with contractor	January 2022	

	Asbestos removal	February 2022	
	Start work on site	March 2022	
	Project completion	July/ August 2022	
	Gateway 6	July 2023	
	Other works dates to coordinate: These works may coincide with the delivery of a project under the 'Energy Reduction Programme – Phase 2'. This could be delivered in line with the proposed project which would allow better synergy with regards delivery.		
	Overall project risk: Low		
14. Risk implications	Overall project risk: Low		
14. Risk implications	Overall project risk: Low Project risk is low as at early sta	ages of the gateway process.	
14. Risk implications	Project risk is low as at early sta	ange once detailed surveys are	
14. Risk implications	Project risk is low as at early sta The overall project risk may ch carried out as part of the gatew	ange once detailed surveys are	
14. Risk implications	Project risk is low as at early sta The overall project risk may ch carried out as part of the gatew Please note the current total co project of £180,000 .	ange once detailed surveys are ay 2.	

Resource Implications

16. Total estimated cost	Likely cost range (excluding risk): £1,000,000 - £1,320,000 Likely cost range (including risk): £1,180,000 - £1,500,000			
17. Funding strategy	Choose 1: Choose 1: Partial funding confirmed Mixture - s some extern		some internal rnal funding	and
	Funds/Sources of Funding		Cost (£)	
	City Fund Reserve*		£1,397,000	
	Proposed funding from the Business Centre**	Finsbury	£233,073	

	Total £1,630,073
	*'In principle' funding was approved by Resource Allocation Sub and Policy and Resources Committees in December 2019 as part of the 2020/21 annual capital bid round. Draw-down against this allocation is subject to further approval of RASC and P&R.
	**Contribution from Finsbury Business Centre @ 29.75% of fire alarm element (£74,375), landlords lighting and power (£119,000) and professional fees (£39,698).
	\pounds 1,397,000 was agreed in principle by Resource and Allocation Sub and Policy and Resources Committees in December 2019. With the additional contribution by Finsbury Business Centre this provides a total funding envelop of £1,630,073.
	Upon approval of recommendation at gateway 3/4 and updated total estimated project cost, tenant contributions will be sourced so that they will be utilised against the required funding and reduce the City contribution.
18. Investment appraisal	It is essential to carry out work to the electrics and fire alarm as a bare minimum.
19. Procurement strategy/route to market	The procurement of the Consultants will be run in line with the City of London's procurement code and liaising with the City Procurement Team. The works for this project proposed at the next gateway, will at this time be run via the Internal Intermediate Works Framework
20. Legal	as a competition to all parties. If the project is not carried out then electrics and fire alarm will
implications	become non-compliant in the near future.
21. Corporate property implications	No direct implications. This project is in support of the management plan for this income generating asset.
	Islington Local Plan refers to the LMA site as a heritage asset.
22. Traffic implications	N/A
23. Sustainability and energy implications	The project is to replace lighting with LED lighting and management as well as the chiller/cooling system.
	The Corporate Energy Team will be further consulted during the design and specification drafting stage.
24. IS implications	None

25. Equality Impact Assessment	An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken
26. Data Protection	 The risk to personal data is less than high or non-
Impact	applicable and a data protection impact assessment will
Assessment	not be undertaken

Appendices

Appendix 1	Project Briefing
Appendix 2	Risk Register
Appendix 3	

Contact

Report Author	Andrew Coke/ Jessica Lees
Email Address	andrew.coke@cityoflondon.gov.uk/
	Jessica.lees@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	07928668635/ 07872114059